

Map 2 Citizen Kits & Comments

[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 14, 2011 4:56 PM  
**To:** Redistricting  
**Subject:** Redistricting vote

The residents of Longhorn Ranch vote for plan 2.

Joan Evans has been very helpful to the residents of Longhorn Ranch and we would like for her to continue to represent us.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I am a Westbrook Village resident and I prefer plan 2. Plan 8 should be thrown out as it was added at the last minute and people that have previously expressed views on plans 1-6 are not properly represented. I feel it is shameful, and unethical, to add those last three plans at this time.

I choose plan 2 as it maintains the neighborhood feel and close relationship we have with our Sun City neighbors. Plans 1 and 8 stretch our district north and south along a narrow corridor with other, non-adult communities.

Unfortunately, you will probably choose #8 or you would not have added it at this late date.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 11, 2011 8:32 AM  
**To:** Redistricting  
**Cc:** Tony Rivero  
**Subject:** Redistricting Concept 2 & the Acacia District

Hello, I am in favor of concept 2 for the reason that the NH 18+ Wht percentage (57%) verses H18+ (33%), is more favorable. Concepts 1 & 8 substantially reduce the ratio's in favor of H 18+. This opinion may be considered 'politically incorrect', but also I believe a greater share of NH18+ Wht in Acacia will indirectly benefit the H18+ population in that better properly values may be the case, and other benefits which may occur, which will favor both populations in the District.

Concepts 1 & 8 redistricting lines will only add to the 'white flight' and thus negatively affect both populations in Acacia. Eventually (in 5-years or so), the increase in the H18+ population in Acacia will naturally balance out the population anyway. All you have to do is observe the %Hispanic (38.4%) verses %NH Wht (50.9%), in chart one of plan 2. This percent variance is magnified to a greater degree in Concept 1: 39.7% versus 49.6% and in Concept 8: 41.5% versus 46.8%.

If either concepts 1 or 8 were adopted and charts 1 remain as is in future years, the balance of %H18+ will overtake that of the %NH18+ to even more so than in Concept 2; all things remaining equal. One would assume over

natural deaths, continued foreclosures, low income housing opportunities, that by the 2020 census %H18 will be equal to or greater than %NH Wht in this district in any case.

This is why I favor Concept 2, thanks.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

|

[REDACTED]